Application No. 10/599,875

Paper Dated: August 31, 2009

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of April 29, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 3693-091615

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

## **Listing of Claims**

1. (Currently Amended) A method for improving flux compatibility of an underfill formulation in the presence of flux, flux residues and/or reaction products thereof, said method comprising adding an effective amount of one or more cationic catalyst(s) to said underfill formulation,

wherein said underfill formulation is a non-fluxing underfill formulation.

- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the underfill formulation comprises one or more curable resins and the one or more cationic catalyst(s).
- 3. (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the underfill formulation further comprises filler.
- 4. (Original) The method of claim 3 wherein the underfill formulation further comprises coreshell rubber.
  - 5-9. (Cancelled)
- 10. (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the underfill formulation further comprises at least one curing agent.
- 11. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the cationic catalyst is an onium salt.

Application No. 10/599,875 Paper Dated: August 31, 2009

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of April 29, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 3693-091615

- 12. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the cationic catalyst is selected from the group consisting of a diaryliodonium salt, a triarylsulfonium salt, a diaryliodosonium salt, a triarylsulfoxonium salt, a dialkylphenacyl-sulfonium salt, a dialkylphenacyl-sulfonium salt, a dialkylphenyl)sulfonium salt, a phosphonium salt, a ferrocenium salt, and combinations of any two or more thereof.
- 13. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the cationic catalyst is a diaryliodonium salt or a triarylsulfonium salt.
- 14. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the cationic catalyst is a diaryliodonium salt having the formula:

wherein:

R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are each independently selected from the group consisting of alkyl, alkoxy and halogen;

m and n are each independently 0-2; and An is an anion.

15. (Original) The method of claim 14 wherein An is selected from the group consisting of hexafluoroarsenate (AsF<sub>6</sub>), hexafluoroantimonate (SbF<sub>6</sub>), hexafluorophosphate (PF<sub>6</sub>), boron tetrafluoride (BF<sub>4</sub>), trifluoromethane sulfonate (CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>), tetrakis(pentafluorophenylborate), (B[C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>]<sub>4</sub>), tetrakis [3,5-bis(trifluoro-methyl)phenyl]borate (B[C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>4</sub>), and combinations of any two or more thereof.

Application No. 10/599,875 Paper Dated: August 31, 2009

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of April 29, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 3693-091615

16. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the cationic catalyst is a triarylsulfonium salt having the formulae:

$$R^3$$
 $S^+$ 
 $An^ R^5$ 
 $R^5$ 
 $R^5$ 

wherein:

 $R^3$ ,  $R^4$  and  $R^5$  are each optionally present and are independently selected from the group consisting of alkyl, alkoxy, phenoxy, and phenylsulfide; and

An is an anion.

- 17. (Original) The method of claim 16 wherein An is selected from the group consisting of hexafluoroarsenate (AsF<sub>6</sub>), hexafluoroantimonate (SbF<sub>6</sub>), hexafluorophosphate (PF<sub>6</sub>), boron tetrafluoride (BF<sub>4</sub>), trifluoromethane sulfonate (CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>), tetrakis(pentafluorophenylborate), (B[C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>]<sub>4</sub>), tetrakis [3,5-bis(trifluoro-methyl)phenyl]borate (B[C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>4</sub>), and combinations of any two or more thereof.
- 18. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the cationic catalyst is selected from the group consisting of (4-octyloxy-phenyl)phenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate, [4-(2-hydroxy-1-tetradecyloxy)phenyl]phenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate, 4-(2-hydroxy-tetradecyloxyphenyl)phenyliodonium hexafluoroantimonate, and combinations of any two or more thereof.
- 19. (Original) The method of claim 18 wherein the cationic catalyst is 0.1-10 wt % of said underfill formulation.

20-21. (Cancelled)

Application No. 10/599,875

Paper Dated: August 31, 2009

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of April 29, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 3693-091615

22. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the curable resin is selected

from the group consisting of epoxy resins, phenol resins, maleimide resins, itaconamide resins,

nadimide resins, (meth)acrylate resins, polyamide resins, polyimide resins, cyanate ester resins,

and combinations of any two or more thereof.

23. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3 claim 4 wherein the coreshell

rubber is selected from the group consisting of butadiene-acrylonitrile-styrene coreshell rubber

(ABS), methyl methacrylate-butadiene-styrene coreshell rubber (MBS), methyl methacrylate-

butyl acrylate-styrene coreshell rubber (MAS), octyl acrylate-butadiene-styrene coreshell rubber

(MABS), alkyl acrylate-butadiene-acrylonitrile-styrene coreshell rubber (AABS), butadiene-

styrene coreshell rubber (SBR), methyl methacrylate-butyl acrylate-siloxane coreshell rubber,

and combinations of any two or more thereof.

24-27. (Cancelled)

28. (Currently Amended) In a method for improving flux compatibility of

underfill formulations in the presence of flux, flux residues and/or reaction products thereof, the

improvement comprising adding an effective amount of one or more cationic catalyst(s) to the

underfill formulation,

wherein the underfill formulation is a non-fluxing underfill formulation.

29. (Currently Amended) A method for improving HAST performance of an

underfill formulation in the presence of flux, flux residues and/or reaction products thereof, the

method comprising adding an amount of one or more cationic catalyst(s) to the underfill

formulation effective to improve the HAST performance thereof,

wherein the underfill formulation is a non-fluxing underfill formulation.

30-33. (Cancelled)

U01848

Application No. 10/599,875

Paper Dated: August 31, 2009

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of April 29, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 3693-091615

34. (Currently Amended) A method for adhesively attaching an electronic

component to a circuit board in the presence of flux, flux residues and/or reaction products

thereof, the method comprising curing a composition comprising one or more curable resins and

one or more cationic catalyst(s) after application of the composition between the component and

the board,

wherein said composition is a non-fluxing underfill composition.

35. (Cancelled)

36. (Currently Amended) An article comprising an electronic component

adhesively attached to a circuit board in the presence of flux, flux residues and/or reaction

products thereof, wherein the electronic component is adhesively attached to the board by a cured

aliquot of a composition comprising one or more curable resins and one or more cationic

catalyst(s),

wherein said composition is a non-fluxing underfill composition.

37. (New) The method of claim 1 wherein said underfill formulation is a

capillary flow underfill formulation.

38. (New) The method of claim 28 wherein said underfill formulation is a

capillary flow underfill formulation.

39. (New) The method of claim 29 wherein said underfill formulation is a

capillary flow underfill formulation.

40. (New) The method of claim 34 wherein said underfill composition is a

capillary flow underfill composition.

U01848

Application No. 10/599,875 Paper Dated: August 31, 2009

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of April 29, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 3693-091615

41. (New) The method of claim 36 wherein said underfill composition is a capillary flow underfill composition.